



# PROPOSED RULE MAKING

**CR-102 (June 2004)**

(Implements RCW 34.05.320)

Do **NOT** use for expedited rule makingAgency: **BOARD OF PILOTAGE COMMISSIONERS**

- ☐ Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR \_\_\_\_\_; or  
☐ Expedited Rule Making--Proposed notice was filed as WSR \_\_\_\_\_; or  
☒ Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4).

- ☒ Original Notice  
☐ Supplemental Notice to WSR \_\_\_\_\_  
☐ Continuance of WSR \_\_\_\_\_

Title of rule and other identifying information: (Describe Subject)

**WAC 363-116-300: Pilotage Rates for the Puget Sound Pilotage District**

Hearing location(s):

2901 Third Avenue  
4<sup>th</sup> Floor, Rainier Conference Room  
Seattle, WA 98121

Date: December 14, 2006 Time: 9:30 a.m.Date of intended adoption: December 14, 2006(Note: This is **NOT** the effective date)

Submit written comments to:

Name: **Captain Harry Dudley, Chairman**  
Address: **2901 Third Avenue, Suite 500**  
**Seattle, WA 98121**

e-mail larsonp@wsdot.wa.govfax (206) 515-3906 by (date) December 7, 2006

Assistance for persons with disabilities: Contact

Judy Bell by December 11, 2006TTY ( ) \_\_\_\_\_ or (206) 515-3647**Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including any changes in existing rules:**

The purpose of the proposal is to amend the Puget Sound Pilotage District tariff regarding the Training Surcharge.

The proposed rule reflects the deletion of the initial training surcharge of \$10.00 per vessel assignment that was used to establish a fund for payment of pilot trainee stipends.

The proposed rule also reflects a decrease in the amount of the additional training surcharge added to each vessel assignment from \$10.00 to \$5.00.

**Reasons supporting proposal:**

The amount of the training surcharge was based on a traffic level of 600 vessel assignments per month. The fund balance has exceeded the amount necessary to pay trainee stipends due to the actual vessel traffic being higher than projected.

In order to reduce the balance in the fund, the initial surcharge has been eliminated and the additional surcharge has been reduced.

Statutory authority for adoption: **RCW 88.16.035**Statute being implemented: **Chapter 88.16 RCW****Is rule necessary because of a:**

- Federal Law? ☐ Yes ☒ No  
Federal Court Decision? ☐ Yes ☒ No  
State Court Decision? ☐ Yes ☒ No  
If yes, CITATION:

DATE

**October 16, 2006**

NAME (type or print)

**Peggy Larson**

SIGNATURE

TITLE

**Administrator****CODE REVISER USE ONLY****FILED****October 17, 2006****8:35 a.m.****WSR # 06-21-076**

(COMPLETE REVERSE SIDE)

**Agency comments or recommendations, if any, as to statutory language, implementation, enforcement, and fiscal matters:**

The Board may adopt a rule that varies from the proposed rule upon consideration of presentations and written comments from the public and any other interested parties.

**Name of proponent:** (person or organization)

Board of Pilotage Commissioners

☐ Private  
☐ Public  
☒ Governmental

**Name of agency personnel responsible for:**

Name	Office Location	Phone
Drafting.....Board of Pilotage Commissioners	2901 Third Avenue, Seattle, WA 98121	(206) 515-3904
Implementation....Board of Pilotage Commissioners	2901 Third Avenue, Seattle, WA 98121	(206) 515-3904
Enforcement.....Board of Pilotage Commissioners	2901 Third Avenue, Seattle, WA 98121	(206) 515-3904

**Has a small business economic impact statement been prepared under chapter 19.85 RCW?**

☐ Yes. Attach copy of small business economic impact statement.

A copy of the statement may be obtained by contacting:

Name:

Address:

phone ( ) \_\_\_\_\_

fax ( ) \_\_\_\_\_

e-mail \_\_\_\_\_

☒ No. Explain why no statement was prepared.

The proposed rule being considered will decrease the amount charged to vessels calling in Puget Sound.

The application of the proposed decrease is clear in the description of the proposal and its anticipated effects as well as the attached proposed tariff.

**Is a cost-benefit analysis required under RCW 34.05.328?**

☐ Yes A preliminary cost-benefit analysis may be obtained by contacting:

Name:

Address:

phone ( ) \_\_\_\_\_

fax ( ) \_\_\_\_\_

e-mail \_\_\_\_\_

☒ No: Please explain:

RCW 34.05.328 does not apply to this rule adoption. The Washington State Board of Pilotage Commissioners is not a listed agency in RCW 34.05.328(5)(a)(i).